



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/539,670

01/05/2006

Thomas Gore

I-2002.025 US

4798

31846 7590 08/01/2008
Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health
PATENT DEPARTMENT
PO BOX 318
29160 Intervet Lane
MILLSBORO, DE 19966-0318

EXAMINER

HURT, SHARON L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1648

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/539,670	Applicant(s) GORE ET AL.	
	Examiner SHARON HURT	Art Unit 1648	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHARON HURT.

(3) Aaron Schwartz.

(2) Bruce Campell.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 28 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Appel et al. and Pratelli et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between CPV-1 and CPV-2 were discussed in detail. Parvovirus taxonomy articles submitted by Applicant were discussed. Prior art was discussed. Applicant discussed possible claim amendments to be filed after Final to move closer towards allowance. No decision was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sharon Hurt/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required